

| NAME : | Martin HENRIKSEN |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| COUNTRY : | FRANCE |
| REGISTRATION NUMBER : | 5609 |

Experience and challenges from zero crossing damped temporary overvoltage test on 525kV cable according to TB852

Slide 1

TB852 issued in November 2021 defines three new tests to temporary transient overvoltages

- 1. Very slow front temporary overvoltage (TOV)
- 2. Very slow front temporary overvoltage with chopped tail (chopped TOV)
- 3. Zero crossing damped temporary overvoltage

At SuperGrid Institute we have carried out the latter test according to the TB852. The test object was a complete 525kV HVDC cable system and consisted of a 2500mm² cable with a range of accessories, including 2 joints and 2 composite sealing ends. (photo)

The aim of this contribution is to share our experience with the test, give feedback on of definition of test criteria and share the challenges in measuring transient over voltages that need to be taken into account.

Slide 2

The zero crossing damped temporary overvoltage test was preceded by preconditioning load cycles and it was decided to test for both a lower and a higher frequency

- ~5.7kHz (\pm 5%) with n₄ = 15 (negative and positive)
- \sim 350Hz (± 50Hz) with n₄ = 4 (negative and positive)

The actual frequencies were 5.56kHz and 362Hz respectively. Exact frequency cannot be predetermined 100% and may vary according to cable design, cable length and temperature. We believe very important that TSO and cable manufactures define a frequency range or clear limit to be achieved.

In this case it had been decided on $\pm 5\%$ for 5.7kHz target and ± 50 Hz for 350Hz target.



When observing targeted attenuation curves for the tests, one understands that

- Defining n4 as "number of zero crossing damped temporary overvoltage oscillations before peak voltage falls below 5% of DC voltage" leaves very little margin for error if one aims at reaching 5% with an exact number of oscillations.

 \rightarrow We recommend that parties understand that the defined n₄ is a minimum to reach during test.

- The decay rate for the 350Hz DOV test is much lower than that on the 6kHz test, however TB852 defines range of approval as cable systems having lower frequency (f₄) AND lower first opposite oscillation peak value U_4 AND lower n₄ than those of the tested cable system, meaning basically systems with lower frequency and a higher decay rate than the tested system. Hence a test at 6kHz with n₄ = 15 does not cover a requirement for 350Hz with n₄ = 4

 \rightarrow We recommend that a cable system is tested moth at both a high frequency and a lower frequency with a well specified n₄ for each frequency.

Slide 2

During development test (lower left figure) it was observed that the measured voltage did not oscillate around zero, but around a value slightly offset from zero. This is due to the design of the universal (R-C) voltage divider. Simulations of the circuit taking into account the measurement device as well as the dividers internal capacitors and resistors, confirm this offset.



Further to post-processing each curve of the measurement (right curves), we observed that the offset was in range of 1% - 1.4% for the higher frequency and 0.3% for the lower frequency and for each curve a correction was applied in order to evaluate U₄ and n₄ correctly. As the pass/fail criteria is based on n4 having a peak voltage of $>5\%*U_0$ an offset of 1% represents 20% of the criteria value and if not corrected could result in validating curves that should not be validated, hence disqualifying the test.





It is equally understandable that it is not possible to guarantee that peak voltage for $n_4 > 5\%$ and at the same time have peak voltage for $n_4+1 < 5\%$ - especially when number of oscillations becomes higher.

 \rightarrow We recommend in the test protocol to define n₄ as a minimum number in order to avoid confusion when comparing with the definition of n₄ in TB852.

Slide 3

When plotting all 10 shots for each frequency we observe that

- Variations in peak values are very small for first oscillations and grow with number of oscillations..
- There is little variation in attenuation for $f_4 = 360$ Hz (less than 5% for U4)
- There is important variation in attenuation for $f_4 = 5.6$ kHz (more than 25% for U15)
- Number of oscillations above $5\% U_0$ varies for $f_4 = 5.6 \text{kHz}$ (n₄ between 17 and 19)

It is equally observed that oscillation may in some cases extinguish during the tail of damped oscillations.



 \rightarrow We recommend to

- Define n₄ as minimum
- Aim for peak value of >> 5% U_0 at targeted oscillation number, n_4