

© CIGRE 2022

Input in case study: actual design, environmental data, ice loading and operational data for 2 OHLs in Norway.



| Parameters | OHL-1 | OHL-2 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Period of data collection | 2015-2018 | 2013-2018 |
| Average load current (A) with the line in or out of operation | 157 | 165 |
| Average load current, line in operation (A) | 217 | 208 |
| Maximum current (A) | 1120 | 1282 |
| Average current in operation and ambient temp. <0 $^{\circ}C$ * | 242/250/272 | 213/213/236 |
| Average current in operation and ambient temp. <0 °C * | 242/250/272 | 213/213/236 |

* Values corresponded to temperatures at the three positions along the line route

Group Discussion Meeting

Results of case study

- Load current about 500 A will prevent ice loads in 90% of cases (HTLS)
- The thermal capacity of the OHL allows for such currents
- However, this is twice the actual average load current
- Potentially promising solution is to combine re-distribution of currents in the bundle with increased current obtained by intentional short circuiting of the line at the remote end, possibly via a transformer.

| Percentage of prevented ice accretion | Required power for iced areas (kW/km) | | Required current for iced areas (A) | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| | OHL-1 | OHL-2 | OHL-1 | OHL-2 |
| 10% | 3 | 4 | 146 | 174 |
| 30% | 7 | 9 | 232 | 267 |
| 50% | 12 | 15 | 301 | 332 |
| 70% | 16 | 21 | 350 | 400 |
| 90% | 27 | 32 | 452 | 495 |
| 99% | 42 | 51 | 562 | 619 |

Group Discussion Meeting

© CIGRE 2022