Paris Session 2022



The confidence level and the images to learn the AI model

PS2/Group 5: Artificial intelligence AI and data analisation, Q 2.19
What was the confidence level of the AI system inspection conductors and towers? How many images were used to train the AI for recognition?
Noriaki TAKEUCHI (Japan)

TEPCO Power Grid, Inc.

Group Discussion Meeting

© CIGRE 2022

1.The conductor diagnosis-imaging system using helicopter VTR and AI

- We used VTR data taken by helicopters during inspections over the period 2013 to 2017 to learn the AI model.
- As verifying the AI accuracy, both the non-detection and false detection rates were confirmed as under 6%.

For normal state teacher data	For failure state teacher data	Total	Non-detecti rates	on False detection rates	
Approximately 133,000	Approximately 7,000	Approximately 140,000	5%	6%	
	Examples of failure state images used for learning				
Group Discu	Wire breaka	ge N	/lelting	Corrosion	

2.The steel tower deterioration diagnostic method using drones and AI

- This AI model is constructed by additionally learning images of rusting on 22 steel towers as part of a versatile rust detection approach, which involves the AI deeplearning numerous rust images of all kinds.
- About 1300 images are used for learning, with the versatile rust detection AI as a base. We conducted additional learning by adding 350 or so still images.
- The accuracy of this AI included confirmation of recall rate of 97% or more, conformity rate of 91% or more.



Enlarged still image of Ov the rusted area Group Discussion Meeting



Overhead view of the steel tower containing the rusted area

Recall rate (probability of finding rust without missing it)	97.66%
Conformity rate (probability of the existence of actual rust in target points where existence is predicted)	91.91%

© CIGRE 2022