





Study Committee A2

Power Transformers and Reactors

Paper ID 11065

Impact of Transient Voltage Generated by Valve Commutation on HVDC Transformer

Dr. René WIMMER⁺¹, Thomas HAMMER¹, Thomas MANTHE¹, Volker HUSSENNETHER¹, Marcus HÄUSLER¹, ZhuSheng CHI², Wie, RUAN², Thomas STROF³, Sebastian REHKOPF³, Moritz BENGLER³, Anniyappan PALANI³

² SIEMENS Energy - DE, ² SIEMENS Energy - CN, ³ Maschinenfabrik Reinhausen

Motivation

Figure 1: Effect of commutation on the AC voltage shape at the converter side



with α = firing angle

 μ = overlapping angle

 $\Delta U = overshoot$

Question:

Which effect will have such transient signals to the tap winding with a step voltage of ~4kV of a 1050kV AC line winding?

Did the provided insulation coordination cover the voltage stress on the tap winding? How did the transient coupling effects between valveand tap winding looks like?

Objects of investigation

Table 1: Data of investigated HVDC transformer

	Line Winding	Valve Winding		
Rated power	493.1 MVA	493.1 MVA		
Rated current (@rated tap position)	814	5104A		
Rated voltage	1050kV/v3	Y: 96.6kV		
Impedance voltage	(20±0.8)%			
Tap range	-9/+21*0.65%			
Grounding type of line side neutral point	direct earthed			
DC bias current	10A			
Туре	single-phase two- winding, oil-immersed			
Transportation limits	(L×W×H) (mm); weight: 13000×5000×5000: 400 t			

	Duration in min	Line Winding in kV (Terminal 1.1)	Valve Winding in kV
U _m		1100	400kV DC-Level
AC _{applied}	60		473
AC _{applied}	1	95	-
ACSD	1	-	-
ACLD	5	1100	176
ACLD	60	953	152
SI _{Pot.}	-		1175
SI _{ind.}	-	1800	253
L	(FW / CW)	2250/2400	
L	(FW / CW)		130071430
DCapplied	120	-	639
PR	90/90/45	-	458

Table 2: Test Voltage Level of the HVDC transformer

Figure 2: Picture of transformer:



Experimental setup & test results

Simulation of the transient voltages

- The investigations are performed on a Line Commutated Converter (LCC) bipolar system
- Three representative operating points are selected:
 Case 1 and 2:
 - typical rectifier operating points for steady state operation for nominal and partial load - Case 3:
 - quasi-stationary operating point when bringing a second 12-pulse group into service

Table 3: Investigated operating points

LCC Operating Points	DC Line Current Id	Firing Angle of Valves α
Nominal load:	6250 A _{DC}	15°
Partial load:	2800A _{DC}	18°
Deblock 2nd group:	6250A _{DC}	70°

http://www.cigre.org







Study Committee A2

Power Transformers and Reactors

Paper ID 11065

Impact of Transient Voltage Generated by Valve Commutation on HVDC Transformer

continued

Figure 3: Basic representation of the complete simulation model:



Table 4: Explanation of the abbreviation acc. Figure 3

V_{RS}, V_{ST}, V_{TR}	Phase-to-Phase Voltages Line Side
L _T	Converter Transformer Impedance
V1 V6	Converter Valves
S _A	Surge Arrester
L _H	Saturable Valve Reactor
n	Number of Thyristor Levels
R _B	Snubber Resistor
C _B	Snubber Capacitor
C _κ	Grading Capacitor
V _{v1} V _{v6}	Valve Voltages
l _d	DC Line Current

Figure 4: Results of the commutation simulation



Transient Simulation of Transformer

- Simulation is based on a white box model using lumped parameter approach built from circuit parameters as self- and mutual inductances, capacitances and resistances
- complex model has few restrictions, e.g. grounded loops and connections between transformer, filter and grid impedance are not modeled

Figure 5: Basic representation of the complete simulation model



Figure 6: Time characteristic of the voltage drop across one step of the regulation winding



Conclusion of Simulation:

- A relevant effect of transient voltages at nominal and partial load to the step voltage of the tap winding could not be detected.
- Up to 1.2 p.u. peak voltage of the step voltage of the tap winding could be simulated at deblock with 70°. However, during IVPD test the tap winding was stressed with 1.82 p.u. (5min) and 1.58 p.u. (60min) as peak voltage.

http://www.cigre.org







Study Committee A2

Power Transformers and Reactors

Paper ID 11065

Impact of Transient Voltage Generated by Valve Commutation on HVDC Transformer

continued

Transient Measurement of Transformer

- Purpose of investigation to verify the simulation model of the transformer
- 2 measurement approaches were used:
 - Using tap connection 1.9, which was led out for testing reason
 - Using a prepared diverter switch which enables a direct measurement

Figure 7: Test Circuit



Figure 8: a) Trace of the tap lead 1.9 and b) auxiliary bushing c) Cable connection at diverter switch and d) assembled measuring equipment





Figure 9: Comparison between both measurements approach



Table 5: Comparison of natural frequencies

	Natural frequency in kHz				
Direct measured signal	7.88	16.3	34.8	40	50
Meas. at terminal 1.9	7.74	16.3	35.2	40	50
Sim. acc. "meas. T 1.9"	8	15	-	37	61

Table 6: Comparison of voltage amplitude

	Max. voltage in kV		U _{max} /250kV in kV	
	Neg. range	Pos. range	Neg. range	Pos. range
Direct meas. signal	-1.22	+0.88	0.49 %	0.35 %
Meas. at terminal 1.9	-1.22	+0.66	0.49 %	0.26 %
Sim. acc. "meas. T 1.9"	-2.33	+2.01	0.93%	0.8%

Conclusion of Measurements

- Both measurement approaches shows a good accordance
- Simulation shows also a good accordance considering the simplifications. However, higher voltage values are always displayed than in reality => worst-case-estimation

Conclusion

- · Simulation can be regarded as a worst-case-estimation
- Transient signals generated by the commutations have no significant effect on transient voltages on tap winding
- Small transient voltages in a range of ~ 300 V superimposed to the 50-Hz-voltage can be observed
- These transient voltages on tap winding are sufficient covered by IVPD-test
- No additional measures / tests necessary due to this effect
- Specified transformer insulation coordination is sufficient
- No impact on safe and reliable operation of transformer

http://www.cigre.org