





# Study Committee C4

Power System Technical Performance

#### Paper 1103

# **Measurement and Simulation of Harmonic Propagation in Transmission Systems**

R. Stiegler<sup>1</sup>, J. Meyer<sup>1</sup>, M. Nazemi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Technische Universität Dresden, <sup>2</sup>Amprion GmbH

#### **Motivation**

- Growing number of harmonic sources (e.g. wind and solar plants, HVDC stations, FACTS, ...)
- Harmonic emissions have to be coordinated and limited
- Harmonic propagation is required for calculation of emission limits according to IEC 61000-3-6
- Harmonic propagation based on simulations can contain uncertainties due to complexity of harmonic models: *How do simulations compare with reality?*
- Aim: **Measurement based identification of harmonic propagation**

#### **Method**

- Measurements in three network sections (A, B, C) in the German 380-kV-network in cooperation with two TSO's
- Dedicated and significant source of harmonics necessary • Section A and B: Intentional switching of
	- transformer (inrush current)



- Section C: Emission of arc furnace
- Measurement of relevant voltage harmonics with GPSsynchronized transient recorders
- Prior characterization of used voltage transformers confirms suitability up to 25th harmonic order

c

#### Analysis procedure

Definition of influence coefficient:

$$
\chi_{\rm XY}^{(h)} = \frac{\Delta \underline{U}_{\rm Y}^{(h)}}{\Delta \underline{U}_{\rm X}^{(h)}}
$$

 $c_{XY}^{(h)}$ : influence of harmonic h at node X (source node) on harmonic h at node Y (influenced node)

Calculation steps:

- DFT on synchronous 10-cycle-intervals
- Transfer in symmetrical components
- Calculation of difference spectra to remove constant background harmonics present in network
- Calculation of influence coefficient with regression **Regression example: magnitude of**



#### **Comparison with simulation**

- Large-scale network model for section A was developed in standard power flow calculation package
- Improved harmonic models have been implemented in area around section of measurements:
	- Geometrically modelled lines
	- Transformer stray capacitances
	- Harmonic impedance equivalents for downstream networks and customer installations
- Good match of results for low order harmonics (damping)
	- Slightly shifted range of amplification (resonance) between measurement and simulation
		- $\triangleright$  High differences for individual harmonics
		- Ø Approximate prediction of frequency and





#### **Influence coefficient depending on distance**

- Aggregated representation of all measurement results: influence coefficient depending on line length
- Low order harmonics (*h* ≤ 9):
	- Damping dependent on line length
		- Damping not monotonous  $\rightarrow$  influence of customers
- Higher order harmonics:
	- Significant resonance amplifications No clear tendency but resonances are more
- probable with longer lines  $0.\dot{8}$  $\uparrow$  $\begin{array}{c}\n1.6 \\
-0.6 \\
-0.4 \\
-0.2 \\
0.7\n\end{array}$  $A1 \rightarrow A2$ ዋ ₫  $AA \rightarrow AB$  $A1 \rightarrow A4$  $\bar{\Phi}$  $B1 \rightarrow B2$ ģ  $B1 \rightarrow B3$



 $20$ 

 $|e^{(h\leq 25)}|$  . ă

> • Influence coefficients can be determined by measurements with distinct harmonic source

/in km

40 60 80

- High resonance amplification may occur at higher orders
- Accurate harmonic simulations can give a reasonable estimate of influence coefficients (especially at *h* ≤ 9)
- Continuous measurements recommended (consider impact of different load conditions)

http://www.cigre.org

100 120  $B2 \rightarrow B3$ 

Ф  $C1 \rightarrow C2$ 







# Study Committee C4

Power System Technical Performance

#### Paper 1103

# **Measurement and Simulation of Harmonic Propagation in Transmission Systems**

## **continued**

### **Voltage transformer accuracy**

- Inductive voltage transformer can have high inaccuracy in harmonic range
- In all three network sections same type of voltage transformer were installed
- $\rightarrow$  Characterization of four samples of transducer type  $\rightarrow$  Three measured noninvasively under realistic conditions (in-situ), one invasively in the lab
- Up to 18<sup>th</sup> harmonic results are reliable ( $\varepsilon_{\text{U}}$  < 5%), up to 25<sup>th</sup> harmonic results are indicative ( $\varepsilon_{\text{\tiny U}}$  < 15%)



# **Characterization of excitation: transformer switching**

- **Section A and B**: switch-on process of a 380 kV / 110 kV, 300 MVA transformer
- Inrush current depends strongly on switching moment  $\rightarrow$  14 resp. 15 switch-on's in each network section
- Broad spectrum of long-lasting harmonic currents
- Unbalanced harmonic currents:





## **Characterization of excitation: arc furnace**

- Section C: normal operation of arc furnace over 18 hours
- In melting phases ( $S \approx 100$  MVA ... 150 MVA) high dynamics with Δ*S* ≈ 5 MVA … 10 MVA between consecutive 10-cycle-intervals
- Arc furnace is emitting significant and highly varying harmonics and interharmonics
- No zero-sequence components at EHV side due to delta winding of transformer



## Regression of influencing coefficient

Maanitude:

Absolute value of influencing coefficient is determined as slope of a linear function:

$$
\left|\Delta \underline{\underline{U}}_Y^{(h)}\right|=\left|\underline{\underline{c}}_{XY}^{(h)}\right|\cdot\left|\Delta \underline{\underline{U}}_X^{(h)}\right|
$$

Phase anale:

- Phase angle is determined by separate regressions for real and imaginary part
	- · Rotation of individual 10-cycle-spectrum differences so that voltage phasors at node X become real:

$$
\Delta U_{\rm X}^{(h)'} = \Delta \underline{U}_{\rm X}^{(h)} \cdot \frac{\Delta \underline{U}_{\rm X}^{(h)}}{|\Delta \underline{U}_{\rm X}^{(h)}|} \cdot \frac{\Delta U_{\rm X}^{(h)}}{\Delta \underline{U}_{\rm X}^{(h)}} \cdot \frac{\Delta U_{\rm X}
$$

· Individual regressions for real and imaginary part:  $\mathfrak{R}\left(\Delta U_n^{(h)}\right) = \mathfrak{R}\left(c_n^{(h)}\right) \cdot \Delta U_n^{(h)}$ 

$$
\Im\left(\Delta\underline{U}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{(h)}\right)=\Im\left(\underline{c}_{\mathbf{XY}}^{(h)}\right)\cdot\Delta U_{\mathbf{X}}^{(h)}\text{'}
$$

Calculation of phase angle:\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}
$$

$$
\angle \underline{\mathcal{L}}_{XY}^{(h)} = \mathrm{atan}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{A}(\underline{\mathcal{L}}_{XY}^{(h)})}{\mathfrak{A}(\underline{\mathcal{L}}_{XY}^{(h)})}\right)
$$

- · Due to absolute synchronous measurement, propagation time on the line must be considered
	- Example: line length of 100 km and  $c_0 = 3.10^8$  m/s corresponds to a delay of 0.33 ms  $\rightarrow$  phase shift of 60° for the 10<sup>th</sup> harmonic
	- Correction of phase angle of influencing coefficient:  $\frac{l_{XY}}{c_0}$  .  $\frac{360^{\circ}}{20 \text{ ms}}$  $\Delta \angle \mathcal{L}_{\text{Sov}}^{(h)}$  $=$  $\cdot h$  $c_{\rm 0}$

Consideration of uncertainty:

For each regression the 95% confidence interval is determined to consider uncertainty of results

http://www.cigre.org







# Study Committee C4

Power System Technical Performance

#### Paper 1103

# **Measurement and Simulation of Harmonic Propagation in Transmission Systems**

### **continued**

Regression result.

## **Detailed results**

- Regression value as circle, confidence interval as whiskers  $\rightarrow$  true value is with 95 % probability inside the colored area
- 95 % confidence interval • Influence coefficient for positive- (1) and negativesequence (2) component is similar, coefficient for zerosequence (0) is different  $\rightarrow$  influence of sequence component impedance
- True value of influence coefficient for positive- and negative-sequence component is most probable in overlapping area of confidence intervals
- Change of phase angle confirms existence of resonances



