





Study Committee D1

Materials and Emerging Test Techniques

Paper D1-PS1-10178

Development of Methodologies to Predict Incipient Faults in Power Transformers Related to Particles Contamination and Bubble Formation

H. M. WILHELM¹, P. O. FERNANDES¹, L. DILL¹, K. MOSCON¹, C. STEFFENS¹, S. PERES¹, V. BENDER², T. MARCHESAN², J. F. NETO³

¹ VEGOOR, ² UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SANTA MARIA and ³ SANTO ANTONIO ENERGIA

BRAZIL

Motivation

- Every occurrence in transformer leaves traces in insulating oil. So, What else can we look for?
- Different materials will be released to the oil depending on what transformer's part is affected. Particles or soluble substances such as:
 - ✓ Paper fibers from coils insulation, paperboard.
 - ✓ Metal particles from coils, core, couplings, contacts.
 - ✓ Soluble metal compounds from same elements at higher energy faults.
 - ✓ Organic compounds such as rubber, varnish, polymeric parts.
- Water containing cellulose favours bubble emergence on its surface at certain temperatures. in temperature gradient, hotspots, and water content.
- Insulating system dielectric strength can be drastically reduced when water droplets or bubbles pass through intense electric fields, which can lead to partial discharge or even insulation breakdown.

Objectives of investigation

- Correlate transformer building materials degradation with insulating oil characteristics and faults origin.
- Induce bubble formation in insulating paper surface in an experimental model comprised of mineral insulating oil (MIO) and thermally upgraded kraft paper (TUK).

Method/Approach

- Samples, prepared in laboratory and taken from transformers in operation, were analysed by physicochemical tests, dissolved gas analysis including C3 and C4, volatile and furanic compounds determination, in addition to quantitative and morphological particle analysis (particle counting, particle quantification index and ferrography).
- Bubble formation dynamics upon sudden changes in temperature was evaluated in an experimental set up composed of MIO and thermally upgraded kraft paper (TUK).

Experimental setup

Contaminated oil samples as well as blank samples were thermally aged in an oven for 20 days at temperatures of 150 $^{\circ}$ C and 180 $^{\circ}$ C. Test at 180 $^{\circ}$ C performed with high concentration of powder metals and filtered after aging. All samples were analyzed after heating.



Contaminated samples.



DGA - headspace.



Ferrography.



Schematic diagram of assembled experimental set up for bubble formation dynamic study, where 1: temperature controller; 2: glass vessel (reactor) containing MIO; 3: electrical resistance wrapped in two layers of TUK; 4: temperature sensor fixed between electrical resistance surface and inner paper layer; 5: digital camera recording bubble formation. (

http://www.cigre.org







Study Committee D1

Materials and Emerging Test Techniques

Paper D1-PS1-10178

Development of Methodologies to Predict Incipient Faults in Power Transformers Related to Particles Contamination and Bubble Formation

(continued)

Test results

DGA analysis of samples prepared in laboratory and samples from transformers.

| 6~ | | | | | S | ample | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|--|
| (ppm) | Blank | Paper | Rubber | Metals | Sample | Sample | Sample 3 | Sample | Sample | Sample 6 | |
| H2 | 32 | 15 | 18 | 38 | 124 | 94 | 2.0 | 517 | 48 | 1175 | |
| CO ² | 1.540 | 1.389 | 1305 | 3538 | 11406 | 3346 | 7221 | 21401 | 1130 | 12576 | |
| C2H4 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 26 | 15 | 59 | 80 | 242 | 17 | 9 | |
| C2H6 | 54 | 117 | 60 | 110 | 119 | 3 | 9 | 679 | 146 | 293 | |
| CH4 | 30 | 114 | 30 | 217 | 1316 | 2 | 8 | 341 | 22 | 675 | |
| C2H2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| CO | 163 | 141 | 173 | 516 | 414 | 80 | 397 | 743 | 90 | 1.45 | |
| C2H8 | 93 | 11.1 | 119 | 130 | 140 | 14 | 17 | 704 | 447 | 381 | |
| C ² H ⁶ | 28 | 18 | 30 | 58 | 21 | 35 | 31 | 666 | 122 | 52 | |
| C4H20 | 477 | 571 | 769 | 3167 | 103 | 502 | 782 | 725 | 455 | 1.72 | |
| C04C0 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 28 | 42 | 18 | 29 | 13 | 87 | |
| Diagnosis | | | | | HT TF | HTTF | HT TF | NO ^E | NO ^E | NO E | |

^a Blank test: only MIO; ^b MIO contaminated with paper; ^c MIO contaminated with rubber; ^d MIO contaminated with copper, tin, lead and silicon steel); ^c Samples from transformers in operation. ^f HTTF: High Temperature Thermal Fault (>700 [°]C); ^g NO: Normal Operation.

Physicochemical analysis of samples prepared in laboratory and samples from transformers.

| | | Physicoc 6 1 | hemical parame | ter | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Sample | Power factor (100 °C) | Wate r content | Dielectric strength | Interfacial tension | T AN (mg |
| | (%) | (ppm) | (kV) | (mN/m) | KOH(g) |
| Hank 1 | 2,4 | 22 | 36 | 26 | 0,15 |
| Paper | 1.5 | 29 | 26 | 32 | 0.05 |
| Rubber ' | 663.8 | 22 | 38 | 24 | 0.14 |
| Metals ^d | 5.6 | - 29 | 29 | 32 | 0.07 |
| Sample 1 * | 25.53 | 23 | 74 | 37 | 0.06 |
| Sample 2 * | 33,9 | 33 | 30 | n | 0,06 |
| Sample 3 t | 18,2 | 26 | 36 | 30 | 0.04 |
| Sample 4 * | 4171 | 104 | 33 | 15 | 0.82 |
| Sample 5.4 | 25.47 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 0.07 |
| Sample 6 * | 29.09 | 73 | 50 | 22 | 0.23 |

a Blank test: only MIO; b MIO contaminated with paper; c MIO contaminated with rubber; d MIO contaminated with copper, tin, lead and silicon steel); e Samples from transformers in operation.

PQI and particle counting of samples prepared in laboratory and samples from transformers.

| Sample | PQI | Total Particle Counting/inL |
|---------------------|-----|--------------------------------|
| Blank * | 6 | 64350 |
| Paper ^b | 7 | 137010 |
| Rubber ¹ | 7 | 152143 |
| Metals 4 | 11 | 48253 |
| Sample 1* | 8 | 8046 |
| Sample 2.º | 8 | 721 |
| Sample 3.º | 7 | 161 |
| Sample 4 * | 7 | 1578 |
| Sample 5 * | 8 | 5631 |
| Sample 6.º | 9 | 588256 |

a Blank test: only MIO; b MIO contaminated with paper; c MIO contaminated with rubber; d MIO contaminated with copper, tin, lead and silicon steel); e Samples from transformers in operation.

Ferrography.



Ferrography analysis of sample 6.



Bubbling and bubble accumulation on paper surface at tests: (a) test 1 (0.3% water content paper); (b) test 2 (0.9% water content paper) e; (c) test 3 (2.5% water content paper).

Conclusions

- Some samples, despite of having normal operation diagnosis via DGA, may present indication of fault or warning points for maintenance team when other parameters are analysed. For instance, samples with high power factor in relation to the expected considering oil oxidation stage, should be better investigated by complementary analyses, as it is an indication of oil contamination.
- Ferrography is an important tool for detecting and identifying insoluble particles resulting from incipient faults. Results allowed to conclude that particle analysis should be included in routine oil analysis, in the same frequency of existing physicochemical analysis.
- Abrupt changes in temperature favour bubble inception.

Acknowledgment

ANEEL and SAE (PD-06683-0318/2018).